International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education
Vol. 1, No.4 December 2024
e-ISSN: 3062-7214; p-ISSN: 3062-7206, Hal 01-07
DOI ; https://doi.org/10.62951/ijsme.v1i4.28
Available online at: https://international.arimsi.or.id/index.php/IJSME

A Review Of Solving and Applications Singularly Perturbed Problems

Ahmed R Khlefha
Department Of Mathematics, College Of Education, University Of Sumer, Thi-Qar, Iraq.

*Author Correspondence: arkdsh85@gmail.com**

Abstract.*In this paper, Spline techniques have become prominent lately due to their effectiveness in addressing singular perturbation boundary value problems. These issues, defined by a boundary layer or a minor parameter associated with a derivative term, pose difficulties due to swift fluctuations in solutions adjacent to the boundary. Solutions to singularly perturbed involving both positive and negative changes in a spatial variable are shown in this review article. The methods presented here are algorithmic in nature. The singular perturbation that arises in neural activity simulation and the approaches proposed by numerous investigators between 2004 and 2024 are the only ones covered in this review. A variety of types of singularly perturbed were reviewed, including ordinary delay differential . Discovering what numerical and analytical strategies have been created in the past decade to address these types of issues is the primary objective of this review. Its secondary objective is to encourage academics to come up with novel, strong approaches to resolving related issues.*

Keywords: *Spline method, Convection,Diffusion. Singularly-Perturrbed boundary, value problems*

1. INTRODUCTION

Applications of mathematics are important fields that primarily involve singular perturbation issues. There is a multiple habitats aspect to solving singularly perturbed boundary issues. While the answer changes gradually in certain regions of the domain, it fluctuates fast in others. The boundary layer's structure of the answer makes the numerical treatment of singular perturbation issues not exactly straightforward. The sphere that is important is home to numerous natural events characterized by drastic shifts, and the often-small areas where these alterations occurs are It intends to advance the state-of-the-art in numerical methods of singular perturbation boundary value issues by combining theoretical understanding with practical The numerical resolution of singular perturbation problems is complex due to the boundary-level characteristics of the answer. Numerous physical scenarios exhibit abrupt transitions within the area of problem [1-5], including mathematical representations for liquid glass substances, chemical processes, theoretical control, and electrical systems. applications.Rapid transitions can be controlled by swift, amplified, or extended scales, but not by gradual scales. The integration domain is generally partitioned into two subdomains, each subjected to a specific scheme as a standard method for addressing that kind of problem. Numerous analytical methods have been developed in recent years [6-11]. Numerical methods employing schemes with and without fitting factors for boundary value and beginning value procedures are presented in [12-19]. Phaneendra and Lalu introduced Gauss approximation for

Received: September 16,2024; Revised: September 30,2024; Accepted:October 12,2024; Online Available : October 14, 2024

singularly perturbed boundary value problems, incorporating exponential approximation with layering at one end point, twin boundaries levels, and interior boundary layers. Mishra and Saini [20] investigated the Liouville–Green transform to address a singly perturbed boundary value problem featuring a right end boundary layer. Studies [21-24] introduced many numerical methodologies that integrate fitting mesh techniques and fitted operator methods utilized by numerous investigators for addressing singularly perturbed boundary value problems.

Advancements in Solution Techniques for singularly perturbed boundary value problems

In this research, we discuss a careful study on the asymptotic and numerical treatment of the singularly perturbed boundary value problems equations for each of the ordinary differential equations. To facilitate the topic, we have studied five parts of it [25], as follows.

Advancements in Solution Approaches Ordinary differential difference equations with singular perturbations

The following section provides a concise overview of the numerical approaches utilized by Singularly perturbed ordinary differential difference equations defined for the domain level $D = (-1,1)$:

$$-\epsilon^2 \frac{d^2\widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta})}{d\hat{\eta}^2} + p_1(\hat{\eta}) \frac{d\widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta})}{d\hat{\eta}} + p_2(\hat{\eta})\widehat{\Psi}\left(\hat{\eta} - \hat{\delta}\right) + p_3(\hat{\eta})\widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta}) + p_4(\hat{\eta})\widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta} + \eta) = \mathcal{m}(\hat{\eta}), \hat{\eta} \in \mathcal{D} \qquad (1)$$

subjected to the subsequent interval boundary conditions:

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta}) = \Upsilon_1(\hat{\eta}), \hat{\eta} \in \left[-\hat{\delta}, 0\right], \qquad (2)$$

where $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ is the value for a single disturbance, δ is delay, and η is an advanced value that fulfills all $\delta, \eta \leq \epsilon$ or $\delta, \eta \geq \epsilon$. Regarding the technique's existence and distinctiveness, the functions $p_1(\hat{\eta}), p_2(\hat{\eta}), p_3(\hat{\eta}), p_4(\hat{\eta}), \widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta}), \Omega_1(x)$, and $\varsigma_2(x)$ under the assumption that they are suitably smooth and constrained with $p_2(\hat{\eta}) + p_3(\hat{\eta}) + p_4(\hat{\eta}) \geq \vartheta > 0$ for all $\hat{\eta} \in \mathcal{D}$ while holding a positive constant ϑ. The majority of the approaches to problems (1) and (2) relied on regular mesh, with the exception of three studies cited in [26–29]. Additionally, finite-difference based techniques constitute the bulk of the approaches. Thus, additional methods for solving the issue's guiding formula on either reactive or regular mesh differentiation approaches might be considered.

Advancements in Solution Approaches for Singularly Perturbed Convection-Diffusion Problems with Significant Spatial Shift

The following section examines the static Singularly perturbed problems defined by

$$-\varepsilon \frac{d^2\widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta})}{d\hat{\eta}^2} - p_2(\hat{\eta}) \frac{d\widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta})}{d\hat{\eta}} + p_3(\hat{\eta})\widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta}) + p_4(\hat{\eta})\widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta}-1) = m(\hat{\eta}), \hat{\eta} \in (0,2)$$

(3)

subjected to the subsequent interval boundary conditions:

$$\widehat{\Psi}(2) = 0, \widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta}) = \phi(\hat{\eta}), \hat{\eta} \in (-1,0] \quad (4)$$

where $0 < \epsilon \leq 1, p_2(\hat{\eta}) \geq \beta > 0, d \geq 0$.

The findings indicate that each of the solutions devised to address the issue presented in Equation (3) and Equation (4) employed a consistent mesh division technique. Nevertheless, only researchers in [30] utilized the nonuniform or adaptable mesh method, specifically the Shshikin mesh methodology. The results indicate that few strategies have been devised to address the issue presented in Equation (3) and Equation (4). Consequently, the creation of the solution approach for the issue is in its nascent phase.

Advancements in Solution Approaches for Singularly Perturbed Reaction-Diffusion Problems with Significant Spatial Shift

The following section examines the static Singularly perturbed problems defined by

$$-\epsilon^2 \frac{d^2\widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta})}{d\hat{\eta}^2} + p_1(\hat{\eta})\hat{\eta} + p_2(\hat{\eta})\widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta}-1) = m(\hat{\eta}), \hat{\eta} \in (0,2) \quad (5)$$

With bounday conditions

$$\widehat{\Psi}(2) = \mathcal{T}, \widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta}) = \omega(\hat{\eta}), \hat{\eta} \in (-1,0] \quad (6)$$

where $0 < \epsilon \leq 1, p_2(\hat{\eta}) \geq \hat{\beta}_0 \leq p_2(\hat{\eta}) \leq \hat{\beta} < 0, \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}_0 \geq \hat{\eta} > 0, \forall \hat{\eta} \in [0,2]$.

The results pertain to the solution strategies formulated in Equation (5) and Equation(6). It indicates that the majority of algorithms produced were founded on nonuniform separating approaches, specifically Shihikin, Bakhavlov, and Shishkin-Bakhavlov types. Moreover, every approach devised utilize finite differences estimate approaches, with the exception of [31], which employs the finite element approach.

Advancements in Solution Approaches for Singularly Perturbed Reaction-Diffusion Problems with Minimal Shift

In this subsection, we want to look at the static Singularly perturbed given by

$$-\epsilon \frac{d^2\widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta})}{dx^2} + p_1(\hat{\eta})\widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta}-\hat{\delta}) + p_2(\hat{\eta})\widehat{\Psi}(x) = m(\hat{\eta}), \hat{\eta} \in (0,1) \quad (7)$$

subject to the following Initional bounday conditions:

$\widehat{\Psi}(1) = L,\ \widehat{\Psi}(x) = \omega(\hat{\eta}), -\hat{\delta} \leq \hat{\eta} \leq 0,$ (8)

The outcomes of the solution methods formulated to solve the Singularly perturbed reaction diffusion issue presented in Equation (7) and Equation (8). The analysis indicates that only a limited number of numerical approaches were devised to address the issues presented by the Equation (7) and Equation (8), all of which rely on regular division approaches. The primary methodologies employed are finite difference approaches and computational integration approaches. Therefore, one may seek finite elements along with additional iteration methods utilizing flexible mesh strategies

Advancements in Solution Approaches for Singularly Perturbed Convection-Diffusion Problems with Negative Shift

In this subsection, we review numerical schemes developed for Singularly perturbed problems given by with IBCs:

$-\varepsilon \frac{d^2\widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta})}{d\hat{\eta}^2} + a(x)\frac{d\widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta}-\delta)}{d\hat{\eta}} + b(\hat{\eta})\widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta}) = m(\hat{\eta}), \hat{\eta} \in (0,1)$ (9)

$u(1) = \mathcal{B}, \widehat{\Psi}(\hat{\eta}) = \omega(\hat{\eta}), -\hat{\delta} \leq \hat{\eta} \leq 0$ (10)

suggests that only a limited number of finite difference solutions approaches utilizing the use of uniform mesh differentiation have been devised to address the collection of Singularly perturbed differential difference equations defined by the Equation in (9) and Equation (10). This indicates that this field requires the focus of researchers engaged in this and associated domains for study.

Supereminent Mentari Advances

Singular perturbations issues are addressed by a differentiation technique. This strategy is advantageous when the issue is contingent on parameters. In the case of equations with multiple unidentified, both nonlinear or nonlinear, it can also be advantageous. These systems are often resolved by iterative methods. The iterative strategy possesses superior efficacy compared to the discretization approach. Examining the reliance of the constants involved in uniformity, security, and accuracy estimations on this variable necessitates prudence. Many solitary perturbations issues exhibit polynomial solution in many research articles. Rather than employing a grid generations, an exponentially fitted methodology is applied, resulting in superior outcomes. Theoretical error estimate for both linear and nonlinear problems is conducted utilizing fitting mesh techniques. Nevertheless, they cannot be extended to multidimensional contexts, and their execution is computationally demanding, especially in non-linear scenarios. Employing traditional discretization on a meticulously chosen non-uniform grid constitutes an alternative approach. Any irregular grid methodology requires substantial prior understanding regarding the existence, location, elevation, and breadth of the layers for successful application. Consequently, it is essential to develop flexible algorithms that can eliminate the necessity for unattainable levels of previous information regarding the answer. Many solitary perturbations issues exhibit polynomial solution in many research articles. Rather than employing a grid generations, an infinitely matched methodology is applied, resulting in superior outcomes. Theoretical error estimate for both linear and nonlinear problems is conducted utilizing fitting mesh techniques. Nevertheless, they cannot be extended to multidimensional contexts, and their execution is computationally demanding, especially in non-linear scenarios. Employing traditional discretization on a meticulously chosen non-uniform grid constitutes an alternative approach. Every irregular grids methodology requires substantial prior understanding regarding the existence, location, elevation, and breadth of the layers for successful application. Consequently, it is essential to develop flexible methods that can eliminate the necessity for unattainable levels of previous information regarding the answer.

2. CONCLUSIONS

The spline methods have shown great promise and effectiveness in addressing computing challenges related to value issues of unique perturbation boundaries. Using piecewise polynomial calculations as flexible smoothing methods, splines provide a powerful framework for accurately depicting layer boundary phenomena and fast solution changes near interfaces or critical interactions. This article has analyzed the theoretical foundations and practical applications of spline techniques in relation to issues of singularly perturbed boundary values. Key advantages include the ability to maintain high accuracy while reducing computational load, and this is achieved through specific estimation techniques and adaptive network optimization methods. These features are very essential for monitoring complex structures, different regions and multi-climate factors that are essential for natural processes. The accuracy and effectiveness of spline methods at individually perturbed boundaries will be emphasized by their flexibility in accommodating boundaries, solution features, and continuous measured properties at individual boundaries. By optimizing node placement, mathematical order, and interpolation steps, splines provide accurate and efficient computational requirements that exceed conventional capabilities. The later phase of the paper focuses on addressing and improving chip technologies through advanced adaptive methods, using techniques that integrate lines with other digital technologies, and exploring applications in complex domains.

3. REFERENCES

Ayalew, M., Kiltu, G. G., & Duressa, G. F. (2021). Fitted numerical scheme for second-order singularly perturbed differential-difference equations with mixed shifts. Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2021.

Debela, H. G., & Duressa, G. F. (2019). Exponentially fitted finite difference method for singularly perturbed delay differential equations with integral boundary condition. International Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 11(4), 476–493.

Debela, H. G., & Duressa, G. F. (2020). Accelerated exponentially fitted operator method for singularly perturbed problems with integral boundary condition. International Journal of Differential Equations, 2020.

Debela, H. G., & Duressa, G. F. (2020). Accelerated fitted operator finite difference method for singularly perturbed delay differential equations with non-local boundary condition. Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society, 28, 1–16.

Duressa, G. F., Daba, I. T., & Deressa, C. T. (2023). A systematic review on the solution methodology of singularly perturbed differential difference equations. Mathematics, 11(5), 1108. https://doi.org/10.3390/math11051108

File, G., & Reddy, Y. N. (2012). Fitted-modified upwind finite difference method for solving singularly perturbed differential difference equations. International Journal of Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences, 6(7), 791–802.

Gadisa, G., File, G., & Aga, T. (2018). Fourth order numerical method for singularly perturbed delay differential equations. International Journal of Applied Science and Engineering, 15(1), 17–32.

Geng, F., Tang, Z., & Zhou, Y. (2018). Reproducing kernel method for singularly perturbed one-dimensional initial-boundary value problems with exponential initial layers. Qualitative Theory of Dynamical Systems, 17(1), 177–187.

Gold, R. R. (1962). Magneto hydrodynamic pipe flow, Part I. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 13, 505–512.

Gunes, B., & Duru, H. (2022). A second-order difference scheme for the singularly perturbed Sobolev problems with third type boundary conditions on Bakhvalov mesh. Journal of Differential Equations and Applications, 28(3), 385–405.

Habib, H. M., & El-Zahar, E. R. (2007). An algorithm for solving singular perturbation problems with mechanization. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 188(1), 286–302.

Jiwari, R. (2022). Local radial basis function-finite difference based algorithms for singularly perturbed Burgers' model. Mathematics and Computers in Simulation, 198, 106–126.

Kadalbajoo, M. K., & Kumar, D. (2009). Initial value technique for singularly perturbed two point boundary value problems using an exponentially fitted finite difference scheme. Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 57(7), 1147–1156.

Kumar, M., Mishra, H. K., & Singh, P. (2009). A boundary value approach for a class of linear singularly perturbed boundary value problems. Advances in Engineering Software, 40(4), 298–304.

Miller, J. J. H., O'Riordan, E., & Shishkin, G. I. (2012). Fitted numerical methods for singular perturbation problems: Error estimates in the maximum norm for linear problems in one and two dimensions. World Scientific.

Mishra, H., & Saini, S. (2013). Numerical solution of singularly perturbed two-point boundary value problem via Liouville-Green transform. American Journal of Computational Mathematics, 3(1), 1–5.

Mushahary, P., Sahu, S. R., & Mohapatra, J. (2020). A parameter uniform numerical scheme for singularly perturbed differential-difference equations with mixed shifts. Journal of Applied and Computational Mechanics, 6(2), 344–356.

Rai, P., & Sharma, K. K. (2015). Singularly perturbed convection-diffusion turning point problem with shifts. In Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics (pp. 381–391). Springer India.

Ranjan, R., & Prasad, H. S. (2021). A novel approach for the numerical approximation to the solution of singularly perturbed differential-difference equations with small shifts. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computation, 65(1–2), 403–427.

Ranjan, R., & Prasad, H. S. (2022). A novel exponentially fitted finite difference method for a class of 2nd order singularly perturbed boundary value problems with a simple turning point exhibiting twin boundary layers. Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing, 13(9), 4207–4221.

Roos, H.-G. (2012). Robust numerical methods for singularly perturbed differential equations: A survey covering 2008–2012. ISRN Applied Mathematics, 2012, 1–30.

Subburayan, V., & Ramanujam, N. (2012). Asymptotic initial value technique for singularly perturbed convection–diffusion delay problems with boundary and weak interior layers. Applied Mathematics Letters, 25(12), 2272–2278.

Wang, X.-Y., & Jiang, Y.-L. (2005). A general method for solving singular perturbed impulsive differential equations with two-point boundary conditions. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 171(2), 775–806.

Woldaregay, M. M., & Duressa, G. F. (2021). Robust numerical scheme for solving singularly perturbed differential equations involving small delays. Applied Mathematics E-Notes, 21, 622–633.

Zarin, H. (2014). On discontinuous Galerkin finite element method for singularly perturbed delay differential equations. Applied Mathematics Letters, 38, 27–32.