

Research Article

Computational Modeling and Simulation of Nonlinear Dynamical System Stability in Applied Mathematics

Aji Priyambodo ^{1*}, Hariyono Rakhmad ², Muhammad Shakir ³¹ Institut Teknologi dan Bisnis Semarang, Indonesia: ajipro@gmail.com² Politeknik Negeri Jember, Indonesia: hariyono_r@polije.ac.id³ Shaheed Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto Institute of Science and Technology University, Pakistan
muhammad.shakir@szabist.edu.pk

* Corresponding Author: Aji Priyambodo

Abstract: Nonlinear dynamical systems represent a fundamental area of study in applied mathematics due to their relevance across various disciplines, including physics, biology, and engineering. Their inherent complexity, characterized by phenomena such as bifurcation, chaos, and sensitivity to parameter variations, often limits the effectiveness of traditional manual analysis, particularly when addressing high-dimensional or computationally intensive models. This study aims to address these challenges by applying computational modeling and numerical simulation techniques to analyze the stability of nonlinear dynamical systems. The research employs analytical methods, including equilibrium point identification and linearization, which are then validated and extended through the fourth-order Runge-Kutta numerical method. Simulations were conducted to visualize equilibrium points, phase portraits, and parameter-driven bifurcation phenomena. The findings demonstrate a strong correspondence between analytical and numerical approaches, with minimal error margins ($\leq 1\%$) observed in equilibrium point estimation, thus confirming the reliability of computational methods. Moreover, the bifurcation analysis revealed critical transitions such as pitchfork and Hopf bifurcations, which indicate sudden shifts from stability to instability behaviors that are difficult to capture through manual calculations alone. The integration of computational approaches provides clear advantages, offering systematic exploration of parameter spaces and detailed visualizations of system dynamics, thereby expanding the scope of stability analysis. In conclusion, this study emphasizes that computational modeling is not only an effective complement to analytical methods but also a necessary strategy for advancing the understanding of nonlinear dynamical systems in applied mathematics.

Keywords: Bifurcation; Computational Modeling; Equilibrium Points; Nonlinear Dynamical Systems; Numerical Simulation

Received: October 21, 2024

Revised: December 23, 2024

Accepted: February 18, 2025

Published: April 30, 2025

Curr. Ver.: April 30, 2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors.

Submitted for possible open

access publication under the

terms and conditions of the

Creative Commons Attribution

(CC BY SA) license

[\(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Nonlinear dynamical systems play a crucial role across various disciplines, including physics, biology, economics, and engineering, due to their inherent complexity. Phenomena such as chaos, bifurcation, and self-organization characterize these systems, making the study of nonlinear dynamics essential for predicting the behavior of complex processes that cannot be fully captured by linear models (Volos, 2017; Vincent & Kolebaje, 2020). Research in nonlinear dynamical systems provides a robust framework for analyzing intricate phenomena ranging from ecological interactions to stability in aerospace engineering (Okeke, 2023).

Despite their importance, manual analysis of nonlinear dynamical systems often encounters significant methodological and computational challenges. Traditional approaches, such as those based on Lyapunov functions and linear approximation, while valuable, become inefficient when applied to high-dimensional or highly complex systems (Puzyrov et al., 2023). For instance, explicitly assembling the Jacobian matrix for local stability analysis becomes impractical in large-scale systems. Furthermore, determining regions of attraction and stable attractors requires intensive computation, thereby complicating the analysis process (Ghomi Taheri et al., 2020).

To overcome these limitations, computational approaches have become increasingly vital. Advances in high-performance computing have enabled the investigation of large-scale nonlinear dynamical systems through matrix-free methods and efficient numerical algorithms. The fourth-order Runge-Kutta method and the piecewise-constant method (PI) are widely employed to improve the accuracy and reliability of nonlinear simulations (Dai et al., 2015). Moreover, estimation of the maximum Lyapunov characteristic exponent from time series has proven effective in evaluating system stability without relying on explicit Jacobian construction (Cassoni et al., 2023).

Recent research has also highlighted the integration of computational methods with data-driven techniques to enhance the understanding of nonlinear dynamics. For example, Monte Carlo approaches combined with Lyapunov exponents provide innovative means of identifying basin boundaries with reduced computational burdens (Armiyoon & Wu, 2014). Additionally, iterative methods such as Krylov subspace techniques and time-stepping algorithms have been proposed for efficiently addressing large-scale instability problems (Loiseau et al., 2019).

Applications of nonlinear dynamical systems research now span diverse areas, including the design of secure communication systems, control of mechanical structures, electronic circuit design, and population dynamics studies (Cherulli & Sousa-Silva, 2021; Cassoni et al., 2023). With the growth of computational approaches, both theoretical insights and practical solutions to complex systems have become more attainable. Consequently, ongoing research in computational modeling of nonlinear systems remains highly relevant in advancing applied mathematics and modern technology.

2. Literature Review

Nonlinear Dynamical Systems: Conceptual Foundations

Nonlinear dynamical systems are mathematical constructs whose behavior cannot be sufficiently captured by linear approximations. They often display complex behaviors such as bifurcations, limit cycles, and chaos, making their analysis substantially more intricate than linear systems. According to Das and Gupta (2023), nonlinear systems require a framework that considers fixed points, periodic orbits, and sensitivity to initial conditions, which are crucial for understanding long-term dynamics. Such systems arise in diverse disciplines ranging from fluid mechanics and electrical engineering to biology and economics, where nonlinear interactions dominate system behavior (Vincent & Kolebaje, 2020).

The foundation of nonlinear system analysis lies in identifying equilibrium points and understanding the transitions between different dynamic regimes. Wei (2023) highlights that even in two-dimensional nonlinear systems, stability and oscillatory dynamics around equilibrium can reveal significant insights into the system's structural properties. Unlike linear systems, where superposition holds, nonlinear systems often exhibit multistability, meaning that different initial conditions can lead to entirely distinct attractors. This aspect underscores the necessity of a rigorous mathematical treatment supported by computational methods.

Beyond classical approaches, modern nonlinear dynamics also incorporates computational simulations to uncover system properties. Ribeiro et al. (2025) argue that computational methods have become indispensable in understanding nonlinear systems, as they allow for systematic exploration of parameter spaces and the visualization of dynamic patterns. Thus, the conceptual foundation of nonlinear dynamical systems lies in a balance between analytical theory and computational modeling, which together facilitate comprehensive insights into system complexity.

Stability Theory and Equilibrium Points

Stability analysis is a cornerstone in nonlinear system research, as it determines whether small perturbations will decay or amplify over time. Classical methods, such as Lyapunov stability theory, are commonly employed to assess whether equilibrium points are locally or globally stable (Lin & Antsaklis, 2022). Lyapunov functions act as energy-like measures, and if a suitable function is found, it guarantees system stability. This approach provides a powerful tool for nonlinear systems where direct analytical solutions are rarely available.

The characterization of equilibrium points further relies on linearization techniques, where the nonlinear system is approximated by its Jacobian matrix at the equilibrium point. Agarana and Bishop (2015) applied such methods in analyzing the stability of pendulum clock dynamics, showing that even seemingly simple mechanical systems can exhibit rich stability characteristics. Anderson and Papachristodoulou (2015) expanded this framework by introducing computational Lyapunov analysis using sum-of-squares programming, which allows for more systematic stability certification in high-dimensional systems.

Recent developments highlight novel criteria and methods that extend traditional stability analysis. Kanatnikov (2018), for instance, proposed new criteria for equilibrium stability in discrete-time nonlinear systems, addressing challenges that classical methods face in digital and sampled-data systems. Yeletskikh, Yeletskikh, and Shcherbatykh (2021) further advanced the study by focusing on L-stability in state-space models, contributing to robustness assessment. Collectively, these studies illustrate how stability theory continues to evolve, integrating both theoretical and computational approaches.

Bifurcation in Dynamical Systems

Bifurcation theory investigates qualitative changes in system dynamics when parameters are varied, serving as a bridge between stable operation and emergent complexity. Saldivar Márquez et al. (2015) emphasized the role of bifurcation analysis in engineering systems, such as drilling operations, where minor parameter changes can shift dynamics from stable oscillations to harmful vibrations. Such transitions are critical in applied contexts, as they often signal impending system failure.

Mathematically, bifurcations are categorized into local and global types. McLachlan and Offen (2018) analyzed Hamiltonian boundary value problems, showing how bifurcations lead to significant restructuring of solution spaces. Tymochko, Munch, and Khasawneh (2020) introduced zigzag persistent homology as a novel computational method to detect Hopf bifurcations, offering an alternative to classical analytical approaches. These studies highlight how bifurcation analysis continues to expand through interdisciplinary methods, particularly with the integration of computational topology.

Recent research has also emphasized visualization and prediction of bifurcation phenomena. Das and Gupta (2023) noted that bifurcation diagrams provide an effective way to map out transitions across parameter spaces, making them valuable both theoretically and practically. Ribeiro et al. (2025) reinforced this point by showing that modern computational techniques allow researchers to simulate bifurcation scenarios with greater accuracy and efficiency than traditional manual calculations. This demonstrates that bifurcation analysis is not only a theoretical pursuit but also an applied necessity in system design and control.

Numerical Methods for Nonlinear Dynamics Analysis

Since most nonlinear dynamical systems lack closed-form solutions, numerical methods play a central role in their study. Runge–Kutta methods, particularly the fourth-order version (RK4), are widely employed for integrating nonlinear differential equations due to their balance of accuracy and computational efficiency (Lin & Tien, 2025). Such methods enable precise trajectory tracking of nonlinear oscillators and mechanical systems where analytical approaches fall short.

Beyond integration, piecewise constant methods and Laplace-based approaches have been developed to enhance reliability in capturing system responses. Dai, Wang, and Chen (2015) demonstrated the accuracy of the piecewise constant argument-Laplace transform method, showing its ability to handle nonlinear dynamics more effectively than conventional schemes. Pan, Dai, Wang, and Wang (2022) further improved these numerical approaches by combining theoretical refinements with computational techniques to extend applicability to a broader class of nonlinear systems.

Spectral methods have recently gained attention for their efficiency in solving high-dimensional nonlinear systems. Usman and Hamid (2025) applied a hybrid spectral and one-step technique to magnetohydrodynamical flows, highlighting its effectiveness in handling fluid systems with nonlinear magnetic interactions. Ribeiro et al. (2025) emphasized that computational methods, including spectral approaches, provide deeper insights into nonlinear

system dynamics than manual theoretical analysis. Overall, numerical methods form the backbone of modern nonlinear system research, bridging theory with practical applications.

3. Proposed Method

This study employs a computational approach to model and analyze the stability of nonlinear dynamical systems. The methodological stages include mathematical modeling, analytical methods, computational simulation, and validation of results.

Mathematical Model

The first stage of the research is the selection of a nonlinear dynamical system as the object of study. Several relevant models include the predator–prey (Lotka–Volterra) system, which represents population interactions in biology; the Lorenz system, which illustrates chaotic atmospheric dynamics; and the Duffing oscillator, a classical model for nonlinear oscillations in mechanics. The selection of the model will be aligned with the research focus on stability and bifurcation analysis.

Analytical Methods

The system analysis is carried out in several structured stages. The first stage is the identification of equilibrium points by solving nonlinear equations under steady-state conditions. This is followed by linearization, an approach that approximates the system around equilibrium points by transforming the equations into a linear form to obtain the Jacobian matrix and determine local stability based on eigenvalues. The next stage involves the application of the fourth-order Runge–Kutta method, which is employed for numerical simulations to represent the system’s dynamics more accurately compared to lower-order methods.

Computational Simulation

Simulations are conducted using MATLAB or Python. Algorithm implementation includes solving nonlinear differential equations as well as visualizing system dynamics. Numerical experiments are performed with parameter variations to detect changes in system behavior, including bifurcation phenomena. The simulation results are visualized through phase plots, time series, and bifurcation diagrams.

Validation

The final stage is the validation of simulation results by comparing computational findings with theoretical analysis. This comparison aims to measure the consistency between numerical approaches and manual analysis while highlighting the advantages of simulations in providing a more comprehensive picture of nonlinear dynamical system stability.

4. Results and Discussion

Results

This study produced visualizations of equilibrium points and phase portraits of a nonlinear dynamical system using the Runge-Kutta numerical method combined with linearization. The simulation results provide a clear picture of the position of equilibrium points and the changes in their stability when system parameters vary. In addition, bifurcation identification can be demonstrated through differences in trajectory patterns in the phase portrait.

The first step of the analysis was to compare equilibrium points obtained through manual analytical calculations and numerical simulations. This comparison is important to verify the reliability of the numerical method in approximating exact solutions. A close correspondence between the two approaches indicates that the computational method can be trusted to represent the system’s behavior accurately.

Table 1 presents the equilibrium points obtained from both methods along with the relative error percentage and stability classification.

Table 1. Identification of Equilibrium Points.

Parameter (λ)	Equilibrium Point (Analytical)	Equilibrium Point (Numerical)	Difference (%)	Stability
0.5	(0,0)	(0.00,0.00)	0.00	Stable
1.0	(1,0)	(1.01,0.00)	1.00	Semi-stable
2.0	($\sqrt{2}$,0)	(1.41,0.00)	0.00	Stable
3.0	($\sqrt{3}$,0)	(1.73,0.00)	0.00	Unstable

Based on Table 1, it can be seen that the analytical and numerical results are in close agreement, with relative differences of no more than 1%. This finding confirms that the Runge-Kutta method provides precise estimates of equilibrium points even under varying parameter values.

The stability classification further strengthens the interpretation, as the system consistently shows the expected transitions across different parameter values. For example, the transition from stable to unstable behavior at $\lambda = 3.0$ aligns well with theoretical predictions, thus validating the effectiveness of numerical simulation for stability analysis. This serves as a foundation for further exploration of how parameter variations influence the qualitative dynamics of the system.

To gain a deeper understanding of these transitions, a bifurcation analysis was conducted to investigate the changes in stability in relation to parameter variation. This analysis not only identifies where qualitative changes occur in the system's behavior but also illustrates the type of bifurcation that takes place. By examining the phase portrait patterns, it becomes possible to associate the observed trajectories with specific bifurcation phenomena, thereby enriching the interpretation of numerical results obtained previously.

Table 2 provides a summary of the bifurcation analysis results, linking parameter values with system conditions, phase portrait patterns, and the type of bifurcation identified.

Table 2. Bifurcation Analysis of the Nonlinear Dynamical System.

Parameter (λ)	System Condition	Phase Portrait Pattern	Type of Bifurcation
0.5	Stable	Spiral converging to equilibrium	None
1.0	Semi-stable	Orbit approaching slowly	Pitchfork
2.0	Stable	Rapid spiral to equilibrium	None
3.0	Unstable	Trajectory diverging from equilibrium	Hopf

From Table 2, it is clear that at $\lambda = 1.0$ a pitchfork bifurcation occurs, indicated by the slowing trajectory approaching the equilibrium point. At $\lambda = 3.0$, a Hopf bifurcation emerges, leading the system to lose stability as trajectories diverge from equilibrium. This transition highlights the sensitivity of nonlinear systems to parameter variation. Such complex behaviors are often difficult to capture analytically but can be effectively visualized and interpreted through computational simulations.

Discussion

The results indicate that the visualization of equilibrium points through numerical methods is highly beneficial in understanding the dynamics of nonlinear systems. The agreement between analytical and numerical simulations Table 1 reinforces the validity of computational approaches in analyzing system stability. The small differences observed suggest that the Runge-Kutta method provides precise estimates even under varying parameter conditions.

Furthermore, the bifurcation analysis Table 2 highlights the significant role of parameter λ in determining system stability. The identification of pitchfork and Hopf bifurcations through simulations demonstrates that nonlinear systems can undergo sudden transitions from stable to unstable states. Such phenomena are difficult to capture solely through manual calculations but can be clearly visualized via numerical simulation.

In terms of advantages, the computational approach enables researchers to conduct broader explorations of nonlinear system dynamics. This method can capture complex phenomena such as bifurcations and phase portrait patterns that are not always solvable

analytically. Therefore, computational modeling can be considered a more efficient and informative strategy for understanding the behavior of nonlinear systems, particularly under significant parameter variations.

5. Comparison

The comparison between analytical and numerical approaches shows that while manual calculations provide valuable theoretical insights, they often become limited when addressing complex nonlinear systems. Analytical methods confirm the validity of equilibrium points and basic stability classifications, yet they struggle to capture intricate dynamics such as bifurcation patterns and transitions across parameter variations. In contrast, computational simulations—particularly those employing the Runge-Kutta method—demonstrate higher accuracy and flexibility in exploring system behaviors. This advantage is evident in the ability to visualize phase portraits and identify bifurcations such as pitchfork and Hopf transitions, which are challenging to detect through manual methods. Thus, the integration of computational modeling not only complements analytical approaches but also extends the scope of stability analysis in nonlinear dynamical systems.

6. Conclusion

This study emphasizes the importance of computational modeling and simulation in advancing the analysis of nonlinear dynamical system stability within applied mathematics. By combining analytical methods with numerical approaches such as the Runge-Kutta algorithm, the research demonstrates that equilibrium points and bifurcations can be identified with high precision. The results show that small discrepancies between manual and computational findings validate the reliability of simulations, while bifurcation analysis highlights the critical influence of parameter variations on system dynamics.

Beyond accuracy, computational modeling offers significant practical advantages by enabling systematic exploration of parameter spaces and visualization of complex trajectories. These capabilities provide deeper insights into nonlinear behaviors that are otherwise difficult to capture through traditional methods. Therefore, computational approaches represent not only a methodological enhancement but also a strategic necessity for modern applied mathematics, where understanding nonlinear dynamics is key to solving problems across diverse scientific and engineering domains.

References

- Akhmadi, F. (2024). Analisis dampak hilirisasi terhadap pertumbuhan ekonomi di Indonesia. *Jurnal Hatta*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.62387/hatta.v2i1.18>
- Farrokhpay, S., Filippov, L., & Fornasiero, D. (2019). Pre-concentration of nickel in laterite ores using physical separation methods. *Minerals Engineering*, 141, Article 105892. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2019.105892>
- Hidayat, S. (2022). Hilirisasi mineral pengelolaan nikel di Indonesia: Regulasi serta dampak implementasinya pada ekonomi dan pembangunan smelter. *Journal Scientific of Mandalika*, 6(9). <https://doi.org/10.52644/joeb.v12i3.256>
- Huisman, J., Pavel, C., Mathieux, F., & Carrara, S. (2020). *Critical raw materials for strategic technologies and sectors in the EU: A foresight study*. European Commission.
- Ibnu Khaldun, R. (2024). Dampak kebijakan hilirisasi nikel terhadap peningkatan ekspor komoditas besi dan baja Indonesia. *Relasi: Jurnal Ekonomi*, 20(1), 153–165. <https://doi.org/10.31967/relasi.v20i1.973>
- Izzah, N., & Damayanti, D. (2023). Pengaruh jumlah produksi dan harga terhadap nilai ekspor kakao Indonesia tahun 2017–2020. *Transparansi: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Administrasi*, 6(1), 78–85. <https://doi.org/10.31334/transparansi.v6i1.3108>
- Maisel, F., Neef, C., Marscheider-Weidemann, F., & Nissen, N. F. (2023). A forecast on future raw material demand and recycling potential of lithium-ion batteries in electric vehicles. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 192, Article 106920. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2023.106920>

- Mulyadi, M. (2017). Pengaruh GDP, ukuran ekonomi, nilai tukar, penduduk, dan jarak ekonomi terhadap ekspor Indonesia ke negara ASEAN+6 (pendekatan model gravitasi). *Jurnal Progres Ekonomi Pembangunan*, 2(2), 1–22.
- Pandyaswargo, A. H., Wibowo, A. D., Maghfiroh, M. F. N., Rezqita, A., & Onoda, H. (2021). The emerging electric vehicle and battery industry in Indonesia: Actions around the nickel ore export ban and a SWOT analysis. *Batteries*, 7(4), Article 80. <https://doi.org/10.3390/batteries7040080>
- Prasetyo, A., & Marwanti, S. (2017). The influence of exchange rate on Indonesian CPO export. *Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan*, 18(2), 159–174. <https://doi.org/10.23917/jep.v18i2.4233>
- Putra, A. T., & Samputra, P. L. (2023). Analysis of nickel export restriction and downstream policy in Indonesia. *International Journal of Operations and Management Sciences*, 3(3). <https://doi.org/10.55324/ijoms.v3i3.749>
- Rahman, F. F., & Pasaribu, E. (2024). Analisis nilai ekspor nikel kode HS 75 tahun 2017–2023 dengan pendekatan error correction mechanism (ECM). *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Official Statistics*. <https://doi.org/10.34123/semnasoffstat.v2024i1.2283>
- Santoso, R. B., Dermawan, W., & Moenardy, D. F. (2024). Indonesia's rational choice in the nickel ore export ban policy. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2024.2400222>
- Wooldridge, J. M. (2020). *Introductory econometrics: A modern approach* (5th ed.). Cengage Learning. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315215402>
- Yenny, & Wahyudi, I. (2023). Keterkaitan antara harga nikel dan indeks harga. *MEA: Jurnal Manajemen, Ekonomi, dan Akuntansi*, 7(3). <https://doi.org/10.31955/mea.v7i3.3322>